Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 MEXICO 06562 01 OF 02 210106Z

67

ACTION ARA-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 INRE-00 USIE-00 ERDA-07

AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 CIEP-02 COME-00 DODE-00 EB-07

FPC-01 H-02 INR-07 INT-05 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OMB-01

PM-04 SAM-01 OES-06 SP-02 SS-15 STR-04 TRSE-00 FEA-01

/090 W

----- 120742

O 210011Z MAY 76 FM AMEMBASSY MEXICO TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 5020

UNCLAS SECTION 1 OF 2 MEXICO 6562

FOR ASSISTANT SECRETARY ROGERS

E.O. 11652: N/A
TAGS: ENER, MX
SUBJECT: PARTIAL TEXT OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY PARSKY'S PRESS
CONFERENCE

- 1. RELATIVE COMMENTS ABOUT OPEC BY ASSISTANT SECRETARY PARSKY AT HIS PRESS CONFERENCE FOLLOW.
- 2. FOLLOWING INCLUDED IN OPENING STATEMENT: WE HAVE HAD DISCUSSIONS AND WE ARE ENCOURAGED ABOUT THE POTENTIAL BENEFITS TO THE MEXICAN ECONOMY OF OIL PRODUCTION. I KNOW THERE HAS BEEN SOME SPECULATION IN OUR COUNTRY ABOUT MEXICO AND ITS POSSIBLE JOINING OF OPEC. BASED ON OUR DISCUSSIONS, I THINK THERE HAS BEEN SOME MISUNDERSTANDING OF THAT. THAT IN FACT, THE MEXICAN GOVERNMENT HAS NOT AGREED TO JOIN OPEC AND THEY HAVE NOT DECIDED TO MOVE IN THAT DIRECTION. I THINK THEY HAVE INDICATED A WILLINGNESS TO ALWAYS CONSIDER AN INVITATION TO DO ANYTHING, BUT CLEARLY I THINK THE GOVERNMENT RECOGNIZES THE DRAWBACKS TO JOINING AND SO I HAVE SOME DEGREE OF CONFIDENCE AFTER DISCUSSING THE MATTER WITH THEM UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 MEXICO 06562 01 OF 02 210106Z

THAT THEY HAVE NO INTENTION OF MOVING IN THAT DIRECTION. IT

WOULD CERTAINLY REMOVE SOME INDEPENDENCE ON THEIR PART IN TERMS OF THEIR OWN INTERNAL AFFAIRS. THEY CAN CERTAINLY SEEK WHATEVER THE MARKET WILL ALLOW FOR THEIR OIL. I MIGHT ADD THAT ON THE PETROLEUM ASPECT. WE HAPPEN TO BELIEVE THAT THIS IS AN IMPORTANT CONSIDERATION IN TERMS OF MEXICO'S FUTURE ECONOMIC PROSPERITY AND WE LOOK POSITIVELY AT THE POTENTIAL AS FAR AS PETROLEUM IS CONCERNED. OUR ESTIMATES ARE THAT THE VALUE OF EXPORTS WENT UP FROM 120 MILLION IN 1974 TO AN ESTIMATED 450 MILLION IN 1975, FROM LESS THAN 500,000 BARRELS PER DAY IN 1973 OUTPUT AT THE END OF 1975 HAS RISEN CLOSE TO 800.000 BARRELS A DAY, AND THERE ARE VARIOUS ESTIMATES, I THINK, OF POSSIBLE PRODUCTION. THEY RANGE ANYWHERE UP TO 2 MILLION BARRELS PER DAY BY 1980. I THINK THAT IF WE ALLOW FOR HIGHER DOMESTIC CONSUMPTION, IT CERTAINLY WOULD PERMIT MEXICO TO EXPORT MORE THAN A MILLION BARRELS A DAY BY THE END OF THE DECADE UNDER NORMAL CON-DITIONS. AT \$10 A BARREL THE VALUE OF MEXICO'S PETROLEUM EXPORTS WOULD RISE FROM ABOUT 450 MILLION IN 1975 TO A POTENTIAL 4 BILLION BY THAT PERIOD. OBVIOUSLY, THE DEVELOP-MENT OF THIS SECTOR IS AN INTERNAL DECISION ON THE PART OF THE MEXICAN GOVERNMENT AND HOW MUCH THEY WOULD DETERMINE TO REFINE DOMESTICALLY AND HOW MUCH THEY WOULD WANT TO USE DOMESTICALLY WILL BE A FACTOR. THE REASON I MENTION IT IS THAT IT IS OBVIOUSLY AN IMPORTANT CONSIDERATION WHEN YOU COME TO MAKE AN ASSESSMENT OF THE ECONOMIC POTENTIAL FOR THE COUNTRY.

3. QUESTION ABOUT MEXICO JOINING OPEC. ANSWER: RIGHT NOW MEXICO HAS THE ABILITY TO DETERMINE OIL POLICY TOTALLY ON ITS OWN. IT SEEKS THE MARKET PRICE FOR ITS PRODUCT AND THAT MARKET PRICE IS SET BY OTHERS, BUT IT DOES NOT, WITH RESPECT TO ITS OVERALL POLICIES, IT CAN PURSUE WHATEVER POLICY IT DEEMS TO BE APPROPRIATE. THAT WOULD BE CURTAILED BECAUSE IT WOULD BE SUBJECT TO THE COLLECTIVE WILL OF A GROUP OF COUNTRIES. I DIDN'T MEAN TO SUGGEST THAT THEY WOULD SEEK A DIFFERENT PRICE BECAUSE THEY PROBABLY WOULD BE SEEKING THE BEST PRICE THEY COULD GET AND THAT CERTAINLY WOULD BE, AT LEAST FOR THE RESTHOF THIS DECADE IN MY OPINION, IS GOING TO BE SET BY THE OIL PRODUCING COUNTRIES NO MATTER WHAT WE DO. THERE ARE SOME NEGATIVE CONSEQUENCES THAT WOULD UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 MEXICO 06562 01 OF 02 210106Z

FLOW VIS-A-VIS THE UNITED STATES BECAUSE OUR CONGRESS HAS ENACTED LEGISLATION WHICH DEPRIVES OPEC COUNTRIES OF THE GENERAL SYSTEM OF PREFERENCES. IF MEXICO DECIDED TO BECOME A PARTICIPANT IN OPEC, IT WOULD COME UNDER THAT LIMITATION. IT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT THE ADMINISTRATION HAS SUPPORTED BUT IT DOES EXIST IN OUR LAW. AND FINALLY, I WOULD JUST SAY THAT THHERE WOULD BE AN IMPEDIMENT OR RESTRICTION ON THE VOLUME BECAUSE COLLECTIVELY PRODUCTION IS CONTROLLED WITHIN

THE OPEC COUNTRIES. THOSE ARE THE KINDS OF LIMITATION THAT I HAD IN MIND.

4. QUESTION FROM FINANCIAL TIMES REPORTER: WERE YOU LED TO BELIEVE THAT MEXICO WOULD NOT SEEK AN INVITATION TO JOIN OPEC AND IF INVITED WOULD LOOK THE OTHER WAY? ANSWER: I WAS CERTAINLY LED TO BELIEVE THAT THEY WOULD NOT SEEK SUCH AN INVITATION. IF INVITED THEY WOULD CONSIDER IT BUT I THINK THEY HAD CERTAINLY ILLUSTRATED TO ME THEIR UNDERSTANDING OF THE DRAWBACKS AND SO I CERTAINLY WOULD NOT GO AS FAR AS TO SAY FLATLY THAT THEY WOULD NOT, BUT I THINK THEY CERTAINLY HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE DRAWBACKS. AND I JUST MIGHT SAY ONE OR TWO OTHER THINGS ABOUT OPEC SINCE I HAVE HAD A LOT TO DO WITH WORK IN THAT PART OF THE WORLD. I HAPPEN TO BELIEVE THAT THE PROPER RESPONSE FOR THE U.S. TO OPEC IS NOT TO SEEK TO DESTROY OPEC OR NOT TO SEEK TO ESTABLISH A COUNTER-CARTEL TO OPEC AT ALL. THE ANSWER TO THE OIL QUESTION, THE ANSWER TO PRICING IN MY MIND IS TO SEEK TO DRAW CLOSER ECONOMIC TIES TO THE OIL PRODUCING COUNTRIES AND AT THE SAME TIME TO DEVELOP SOURCES OF OIL OUTSIDE OF THE OPEC COUNTRIES THAT WILL ALLOW THE MARKET PLACE TO HAVE A GREATER SAY IN THE SETTING OF PRICE IN THE 1980S. I THINK THAT BEFORE THAT TIME FRAME, I THINK IT IS SAFE TO SAY THAT OPEC WILL CONTINUE TO MAINTAIN THE ABILITY TO SET PRICE BECAUSE NO MATTER WHAT WE DO BETWEEN NOW AND 1980, I DON'T SEE ENOUGH ADEQUATE SUPPLIES ON HAND TO AFFECT THE DETERMINATION OF PRICE.

UNCLASSIFIED

FM AMEMBASSY MEXICO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 5021

UNCLAS SECTION 2 OF 2 MEXICO 6562

5. QUESTION: IF MEXICO WILL JOIN OPEC, WOULD THE TRADE LAW CLOSE THE U.S. MARKET? ANSWER: NO, I DIDN'T MEAN TO SUGGEST THAT AT ALL. WE HAVE NOT PURSUED A COURSE OF RESTRICTIONS OR ECONOMIC EMBARGOS AGAINST THE OIL PRODUCING OR AGAINST OPEC AND I DON'T BELLIEVE THAT IS A COURSE WE SHOULD PURSUE. ALL I WAS POINTING OUT IS THAT OUR CONGRESS HAS ENACTED LEGISLATION, WHICH WE OBJECTED TO, IN THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH BUT WHICH DOES EXIST, WHICH DEPRIVES OPEC COUNTRIES OF OUR GENERALIZED SYSTEM OF PREFERENCES. MEXICO NOW ENJOYS THE BENEFITS OF THAT PROGRAM. I'M NOT SURE OF THE EXACT EXTENT BUT IT'S RATHER SIZEABLE AND BY LAW. THEY WOULD COME UNDER THAT RESTRICTION. IT'S NOT A RESTRIC-TION THAT I HAVE SUPPORTED BUT IT JUST EXISTS AND I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT THEY WOULD COME WITHIN THAT. BUT AS FAR AS ANY OTHER MEASURES, WE HAVE NOT CON-TEMPLATED SUCH ACTS, SUCH RESTRICTIONS OR EMBARGOS, THE KIND OF EMBARGOS AS I SAID I DON'T THINK THAT'S THE PROPER POLICY AND WE CERTAINLY WOULDN'T PURSUE IT WITH RESPECT TO MEXICO.

6. QUESTION: IS THERE A POSSIBILITY THAT MEXICO WILL SUPPLY MORE OIL TO THE UNITED STATES? ANSWER: I THINK I MENTIONED SOME OF THE POTENTIAL PRODUCTION LEVELS THAT MEXICO WE UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

JOVA

PAGE 02 MEXICO 06562 02 OF 02 210110Z

THINK COULD REASONABLY ACHIEVE BY 1980. AS FAR AS THE U.S. IS CONCERNED WE WOULD WELCOME THAT. WE ARE UNDERTAKING PROGRAMS IN THE U.S. AIMED AT PROVIDING US WITH THE ABILITY FOR SELF-SUFFICIENCY. BY THAT I MEAN THE ABILITY TO BE IN A POSITION OF BEING INVULNERABLE TO A CUTOFF IN A SUPPLY. NOT ZERO IMPORTS BUT IN A POSITION OF BEING INVULNERABLE TO A CUTOFF IN SUPPLY BY THE MID-1980S. WE WILL STILL CON-TINUE TO IMPORT. WE WOULD WELCOME THE POTENTIAL OF IMPORTING FROM MEXICO AS WELL AS FROM OTHER COUNTRIES. BUT I THINK IT POINTS OUT, MEXICO'S POTENTIAL THAT EXISTS IN THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF, POTENTIIAL THAT EXISTS IN ALASKA, THE POTENTIAL EXISTS IN THE NORTH SEA, POINT OUT THE ANSWER REALLY TO THE OIL PRICE QUESTION. THAT ANSWER LIES IN CREATING THE OBJECTIVE CONDITIONS TO RETURN PRICING TO THE MARKET PLACE. IT DOES NOT LIE IN TALK OF EMBARGOING OR COUNTER-EMBARGOING OR IN TERMS OF ECONOMIC WARFARE. I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THAT'S THE ANSWER. THE ANSWER LIES IN DEVELOP-ING ALTERNATIVE SOURCES AND MEXICO OFFERS A GREAT POTENTIAL FOR THAT.

	Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006
UNCLAS	SIFIED
NNN	

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: PETROLEUM INDUSTRY, TEXT, PRESS CONFERENCES Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 21 MAY 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Approved on Date: Disposition Authority: n/a Disposition Case Number: n/a Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:

Document Number: 1976MEXICO06562
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A

Film Number: D760196-0589

From: MEXICO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760529/aaaaayef.tel Line Count: 221

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION ARA Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: blochd0

Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: Review Date: 24 JUN 2004

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <24 JUN 2004 by mendozsm>; APPROVED <07 DEC 2004 by blochd0>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: PARTIAL TEXT OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY PARSKY'S PRESS CONFERENCE TAGS: ENRG, MX, (PARSKY, GERALD L)
To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006